# THE PRACTICE OF JUDAISM

Rabbi Osher Abramson

With Some Remarks and Comments by **THE LUBAVITCHER REBBE**Rabbi Menachem M. Schneerson

Published for the first time in honour of the wedding celebration of, Eliyahu Raphael HaCohen & Sara Gutnick

© Copyright 1999 – Melbourne, Australia

Sunday, 4th Nissan, 5759

#### OREWORD

arav HaGaon VeHaRav HaChassid HaRav Osher Abramson was amongst the first Shluchim to be sent by the previous Lubavitcher Rebbe, Rabbi J. I. Schneerson, to Australia. He had spent his early years as a student of the Chofetz Chaim and afterwards, in keeping with his Chassidic lineage, he became a firm and loyal Chassid of the previous Lubavitcher Rebbe during his sojourn in Poland prior to the second world war. At the urgings of the Rebbe he married the widow of the Shaliach to London, HaRav Mordechai Zev HaCohen Gutnick.

In 5708 (1948), HaRav Abramson war together with his Rebbetzin, by the previous Rebbe to join his step-son HaRav Chaim HaCohen Gutnick in Australia. There he served for over thirty years as a most respected communal Rav and as Rosh Bet-Din of the Sydney community. His distinguished career included the merit of considerable assistance in laying the foundation stones for many of the Chabad institutions that exist and flourish today in Australia.

comments and remarks. with great pleasure that I am able to fulfil the Rebbe's long-standing request and am publishing the original text with the Rebbe's HaRav Abramson just prior to his passing in Cheshvan 5742. It is materialised. The text together with the Rebbe's covering letter and amendments be published. We believe that this publication never which they are based. The Rebbe also urged that the text with the in their own right - even when read separately from the article upor comments on its contents. Many of these comments are significan with the question as to whether he should publish it. The Rebbe delivered a lecture on the significance of Mitzvot Ma'asiot - "The three pages of the Rebbe's comments and notes were given to me by took the time to peruse the text and to make thirty-one differen Practice of Judaism". In 5713 (1952) he submitted the text of this Yiddish and later in English. In his early years in Australia he lecture to the Lubavitcher Rebbe, Rabbi Menachem M. Schneerson HaRav Abramson was a unique and gifted orator in his native

I would like to thank Rabbi Mordechai Tzvi Liberow for his assistance in preparing this article for print.

4 / The Practice of Judaism

his booklet is being published on the occasion of our son, Eliyahu Raphael HaCohen's marriage. HaRav Abramson was a truly dedicated step-grandfather to the Chossen in his younger years and it is truly fitting that this work be published in honour of this Simchah.

We pray that the young couple's home will be filled with Mitzvot Ma'ssiot. May they continue to follow in the footsteps of their respective, illustrious families in spreading the words and practice of Torah and Yiddishkeit in the spirit taught to us by our beloved Rebbe. May we all together merit the revelation of Moshiach speedily and in our days.

# HaRav Mordechai Zev HaCohen Gutnick

Melbourne, Australia Adar 5759



RABBI OSHER ABRAMSON AV EETH-DIN, SYDNEY, AUSTRALIA (5671-5742)

#### THE REBBE'S COVERING LETTER REGARDING THIS ARTICLE

RABBI MENACHEM M. SCHNEERSON 770 EASTERN PARKWAY Lubavitch

HYacinth 3-9250

BROOKLYN 13, N. Y.

מנחם מענדל שניאורסאהן ליובצוויםש

770 איסטערן פארקוויי ברוקלין ג. י

ב"ה, ח' מרחשון, ה'משי"ג

עומק בצ"צ וכוי מוהר"א שיי "מ

שלום וברכה:

ארסו"ר זאוקללה"ה נבג"ס זי" ע. מפ"נ שלו לרה"ש שנקרא בעה ראון על איון כ"ק מו"ח

קראמי בשימת לב את המאמר שלו ע"ד הנחיצות דמצות מעשיות. ובמענה על שאלהן, לדעתי נכון הדבר להדפיסו, ורשום בגליון בפ"ע איזה הערות בקנוגע למאמרו, אשר להקלת הענין נעתקו לאנגלית בקנוגע למאמרו, אשר להקלת הענין נעתקו לאנגלית

בברכה הצלחה בעבוקת.

FREE TRANSLATION OF THE REBBE'S COVERING LETTER as printed on the previous page

By the Grace of G-d 8th of MarCheshvan 5713 Brooklyn, N.Y.

HaRav HaGaon VeHaRav HaChassid [etc] Moreinu HaRav Csher [Aḥramson], שיי

Greeting and Blessing:

memory. be read at the resting place of my father-in-law, the Rebbe, of saintly Succos. Your pan (1"1) for Rosh Hashanah was also received and will I was pleased to receive your letter of the third day Chol-HaMoed

have been translated into English by my secretary Dr N. Mindel 'w. noted on a separate sheet, which, in order to make matters easier, is proper to print it. A number of comments on your article are practical Mitzvos, and in answer to your question - in my opinion it I have conscientiously read your article on the importance of

concerning the progress of the Yeshivah in particular and of the circumstances of the Chabad environment in your country in Thank you in advance if you will inform me of good news

and with regards to all of Anash אירואי With blessings for success in your holy work

#### /Signature,

and further references there. And here is not the place (to expand on letter. See Shulchan-Aruch Admur HaZakein, Orach Chaim, Ch. 85 We are accustomed here to write "G-d" with the omission of a

own handwriting. Publisher's Note: The words in *italics* were added in the Rebbe's

# A copy of one of the original pages of the Rebbe's "Comments and Remarks"

HE: THE PRACTICE OF JUDAISH -- Some remarks and comments:

- 13. Page 6: The reference to the "molecule" as having been thought to be the smallestunit of matter is erroneous, for it was the atom that wountiles considered indivisible (which is the meaning of the word "atom").
- 14. End of page 6: The principle components of an atom are the electrons and protons, honce the word "neutron" should be substituted by "proton."
- 15. Page 7, end of lat paragraph: The earth would be compressed into a ball of a considerably smaller radius than half mile, as suggested in your article.
- 16. Page 7, beginning of 2nd paragraph: The meaning of the statement that "If the human eye could gut see, etc.," does not refer to the physical eye/but to the "Lattellectual eye," Accordingly, your interpretation does not seem to be the correct one, in my opinion,
- 17. Page 7, below: Matter and energy are not separate and distinct things but they are distinct phenomena. Therefore, the sentence should be corrected accordingly.
- 18. Fage 8, line 9: I would omit the words "in perfection," since it is too much to expect perfection from everyone.
- 19. Page 8, let line in the chapter "Religious and Social Preceptes" I would omit the word "spiritual."
- 20. Page 8, below: The expression "functional attachment" is not clear; likewise, "innor bond" is unsuitable. The Hebrew word " // 2 might best be translated as "companionably."
- 21. Page 9: Instead of "duties to neighbour," better "duties to fellowman,"
- 22. Page 9, below: The sentence beginning with the words "If any of us, etc." is not clear at all.
- between the Jewish concept of charity and that of other faiths is not clear.
- 24. Page 10, 2nd paragraph, 2nd line: I would insert the word "purely" before "ethical," to read "purely ethical."
- 25. Page 10, below: the reference to the 19th chapter of Leviticus with regard to the re-statement of the Ten Commandments is not salequately explained.

# THE PRACTICE OF JUDAISM

AN EXPOSITION OF THE NEED AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE OBSERVANCES [MITZVOTH]1

#### By Rabbi O. Abramson

Publishers Note: The original text of the article contained the word "G-d" written in full. In keeping with the Rebbe's hand-written comment in his covering letter, this word has been printed here with the omission of the middle letter. Other than this change, the text of the article is printed verbatim in its original version as submitted to the Rebbe, with the Rebbe's comments and remarks inserted as footnotes at the point in the text that each comment or remark refers to.

respects, a reflection of the deviation of our generation, or at any rate of a large element of our generation, from our faith and from its philosophy. If, apart from the question of the **significance** and **meaning** of the practical commands of Judaism, the question of their **need** arises amongst us, it is a sure sign of our ignorance of the elementary foundations of our great ancestral faith. We tend to look upon our holy Torah and its Mitzvot as we look upon certain rituals which this or that group of people may adopt by general consent, either for the purpose of separating or distinguishing themselves from the rest of mankind, and thus strengthening their own group; or for certain symbolic purposes which their so-called rituals

#### THE REBBE'S COMMENTS

1. A general observation regarding this article:

You have surely seen The Commandments by Dr N. Mindel, where the same topic is dealt with, though, perhaps, from a different angle. There you will also find a bibliography of various sources on the subject. I think you could use them to supplement some paragraphs in your article.

represent; or for both such purposes together. Such, for example, are the rituals which have been adopted and meticulously observed by the members of the Masonic brotherhood.

Quite different should be the attitude to our divinely revealed Torah and its precepts. Although indirectly they contain within themselves the merits I have just mentioned, yet to speak so of them in our present context, when we seek to establish the true purpose of Torah and Mitzvot, would be like saying that the purpose of life is that one is able thereby to wear an attractive necktie; or to say that the function of air is that we may use it to blow up a football!

Nobody would dream of making such a suggestion, for all understand with greater or lesser clarity, the purpose and nature of life and air. The suggestion is even more unfounded in the case of the Torah and Mitzvot, except that in the instance of the necktic and the football all see the fallacy of the suggestion, while, where Torah and Mitzvot are concerned, not all understand.

I feel obliged, therefore, before proceeding to my theme, to introduce the basic principles of our faith as they affect the spiritual being of man. As I shall be employing terms which must be understood in a metaphysical-spiritual sense, it is necessary for me to quote an exposition of Maimonides. There is in our literature an expression concerning the souls of the righteous after mortal death: מיסיים משבים ונחלים בראשיהם ונחלים באשרים ויום באשרים ונחלים באשרים משבים של משבים באשרים ונחלים של משבים של משבים באשרים ונחלים של משבים באשרים ונחלים של משבים באשרים ונחלים באשרים ונחלים של משבים באשרים ונחלים של משבים באשרים ונחלים של משבים באשרים ונחלים באשרים ונחלים של משבים באשרים ונחלים באשרים ונחלים באשרים ב

Clearly every word in this phrase, as applied to man's soul, is not to be taken literally but figuratively. Maimonides has this to say. One cannot explain to a person blind from birth the difference between colours - if you should attempt to explain to him the difference, say, between red and blue, he would immediately ask: "Can the difference be recognised by my senses? Can it be heard, felt, smelt, tasted?" One cannot explain to a person born deaf the beauty of a violin's lyric notes - he would inevitably ask: "Can these notes be seen, smelt, felt, tasted?" They cannot perceive the colour

#### ₹THE REBBE'S COMMENTS

2. The word "rays" is not suitable here and perhaps "emanation" might fit in better.

in one instance or the sound in the other, because they are deficient in those sense organs through which colour and sound respectively are transmitted to the brain. So, says Maimonides, are we, human beings created in a material body, deficient in that organ through which the purely spiritual can be comprehended and perceived. It is therefore impossible to grasp what is meant by the "pleasures that are to be derived from nearness to the Divine Presence". We apply to it material terms, "pleasure", "enjoyment", because we have not that spiritual sense organ through which to perceive it, and we have naturally therefore, no appropriate words or terms by which to name it. Thus we use words which signify material experience, such as "pleasure" or "enjoyment" - these are borrowed words, which essentially have no bearing on spiritual experiences, just as for the man born blind we might use terms relating to the senses of hearing or smelling when we wish to convey a visual thing like colour.

## ₹ THE SPIRITUAL BEING OF MAN

seeking to transform the material into the spiritual, the earthly into animal does instinctively - except that in man, endowed as he is with satisfactions, strives to govern all his inborn faculties and to harness element in man. The animal in him drags him to earthly may term them in other words - the divine element and the animal soul which emanates from G-d above, and is a spark of G-d allotted span on earth in order to ensure for himself everlasting life. the ideal, the גשמיות into the רוחניות; and so seeking to use man's The divine element in man also strives to govern him, seeking to rule his intellect and his capacities to the pursuit of pleasures, just as the its seat in man's mortal being, and gives him his physical life. We Himself<sup>3</sup>; the second is the אנפש הבהמית, the material soul, which has that he is endowed with two souls - the one is his אלקית, a divine his intellect and his capacittes in order to elevate his material self, intellect, the animal in hirn seeks to rule and use even his mind too. The Spiritual being of man can be understood when we recognise

#### ₹ THE REBBE'S COMMENTS

3. Instead of "spark of G-d Himself," better "Divine spark."

This struggle between man's two inner selves for the governance of the microcosm called man, and the victory of the one over the other, has an immense influence on man's outward life, and in particular in the formation of his ego. If the animal in him prevails, his ego becomes materialistic; whereas if the divine in him conquers, he becomes totally different - he is no longer a mere bodily creature, but becomes a G-dly soul clothed in bodily form.

sees in others only<sup>5</sup> the divine spark that is in them, though that answer is clear: it surely depends on what one is oneself - that is, on stranger be the same as one's love for one's own self? But the according to our nearness and kinship, how can one's love for a standpoint, we must ask: is it possible to love anyone as one loves divine element be concealed in the very depths of their beings. becomes thereby a spark of the divine clothed in a bodily form, and a stranger; one's own child or one's own parents even more. rules him, then this Golden Rule<sup>4</sup> is a mere Utopian ideal what governs one's own ego. If the animal, the physical, in man oneself? Or is this some kind of Utopian ideal or a mere thyself". When we examine this doctrine from a logical and practical most widely known concepts of the Torah, which has been accepted however, man's ego in him is ruled by the divine element in him, he physically, men have diverse degrees of kinship, on which, in truth, If, then, there be degrees and variations of the intensity of our love brother, our own child, or our own father and mother? Clearly not fine-sounding phrase? Can we love a stranger as we love our own by the whole civilised world: "Thou shalt love thy neighbour as the degree of love for them depends. One loves a brother more than We shall see this more clearly if we take as an example one of the

As a result he is forced, even on logical grounds, to an attitude of love to a stranger as to his own brother, father, mother, or even his own self. For if man's ego is his divine or spiritual self, he cannot fail to see in ot

### THE REBBE'S COMMENTS

hers his own brother, or rather something of his own self - for every man is infused with a G-dly spark from the same divine father<sup>6</sup>.

# <sup>2</sup> DESCENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF ASCENT

The divine soul in man descended from the supremest heights, and was clothed in a mortal frame. Its descent is a tremendous fact, 7 and has as its purpose the soul's ultimate elevation; for by such means, by embedding itself in a physical form, it can reach such spiritual levels as cannot be attained by a soul that has never had material form. We thus see that when man's body is dominated by his soul, it not only refrains from exercising an evil influence, but, on the contrary, it brings the soul to its loftiest spiritual heights.

We may understand this more clearly if we first assess what the material world is. That world<sup>8</sup> is called in Hebrew שלים; and what we normally call Nature is rendered by the Hebrew word איבי. Philologically, both words stem from the same roots as two other words which invite our attention:<sup>9</sup>

מבע is of the same root as רעלם - concealed or hidden; and טבע מובעו בים סוף as in קונבעו בים סוף.

We are all familiar with the Biblical expression concerning G-d: ארץ בנדני - "The whole earth is filled with his glory"; and with the affirmation און '' - G-d is one and unique. Together, both phrases mean that there is nothing on earth outside of G-d10.

The material universe which we perceive with our physical senses is in fact spirit too; it has undergone many concentrative

### THE REBBE'S COMMENTS

- 6. Instead of "G-dly spark," I would prefer "Divine spark" and instead of "divine Father," perhaps "Heavenly Father."
- 7. Instead of "Its descent is a tremendous fact," better "It is a tremendous descent."
- 8. Instead of "That world," I would put "The world."
- 9. The Hebrew words "עולם ביט" are not of the same root philologically, although their meaning is similar.
- 10. The whole subject of the unity of G-d seems to be irrelevant there and would be best left out.

<sup>4. &</sup>quot;The Golden Rule" may be ambiguous here and understood in the sense of "The Golden Mean," which, since it involves compromise, is contrary to the Torah.

<sup>5.</sup> I would omit the word "only."

<sup>12 /</sup> THE PRACTICE OF JUDAISM

processes and changes until it has solidified into the forms of physical matter - matter which, though physical, is nevertheless not inanimate substance. G-d gives it איירו, existence, and איירו, life. If for the twinkling of an eye, the G-d element were taken from it, it would disintegrate into chaos or even nothingness 12.

There were at one time philosophers who admitted the existence only of the material and denied the existence of anything which man cannot perceive with his senses. These philosophers and their theories have long ago been refuted and are bankrupt. Scientists have examined the elements of matter and have come to new conclusions concerning it. Matter, they say, is composed of molecules each so minute that if 125 million of them were brought into close concentration, they would occupy less than half an inch. It was thought that the molecule 13 was the smallest unit of matter; but other scientists have discovered that each molecule is composed of atoms, each atom so minute that if 5 million atoms were brought into close concentration, a tiny microscopic speck would result. Each atom is a tiny planetary system in which the space between its component electrons and neutron 14 is proportionally much greater than in our solar system.

Thus every material thing consists almost entirely of space, for each of its constituent atoms is comprised almost entirely of space. On this basis, scientists assert that if the human body were so concentrated that only the "solid" parts of the atoms making up man's frame were retained, and the spaces within them eliminated, man would shrink to such minute dimensions that he could scarcely

### THE REBBE'S COMMENTS

- 11. Instead of "the G-d element," better "the power of G-d".
- 12. Omit the words "disintegrate into chaos or even," and supplement with "turn back into nothingness," since without G-d's creative power there would be absolutely nothing and not merely chaos.
- 13. The reference to the "molecule" as having been thought to be the smallest unit of matter is erroneous, for it was the atom that was considered indivisible (which is the meaning of the word "atom").
- 14. The principal components of an atom are the electrons and protons, hence the word "neutron" should be substituted by "proton."

be seen under a microscope, while the mass would yet retain - if it could be weighed - the ponderable weight of his whole body! The men of science have further calculated that if we could do the same with the whole terrestrial globe, keeping only the "solid" parts of its atoms, and ridding it of the space within each of them, the earth would then be reduced to a ball with a radius of less than half a mille! 15

In truth, our sages have long since taught that if the human eye could but see what there is to be seen in every stone and blade of grass, the matter in them would appear to be entirely dispersed and the eye would only see the divine power which is the very essence of every stone and blade of grass.

In the words of our sages that which we see, namely ν – matter is in fact γ ν - void. The essence is the spirit - that which is for us incomprehensible. Science is just beginning to understand that matter and energy are not separate and distinct phenomena<sup>17</sup>.

Matter is energy and the energy content of each individual thing is much greater than its material potential. In this light we may begin to understand the oneness of G-d and the concept אכל הארץ בבירו ווא for this reason that the universe is called שול and Nature איטר, precisely because of the derivation of these words from האלי - "concealed". and איטר - יובע which the world and Nature of G-d in his world, and to the veil which the world and Nature drew over G-d.

### THE REBBE'S COMMENTS

- 15. The earth would be compressed into a ball of a considerably smaller radius than half [a] mile, as suggested in your article.
- 16. The meaning of the statement that "If the human eye could but see, etc.", does not refer to the physical eye יחואינים but to the "intellectual eye" ייחויורון חויון". א"ם ב"ח אינות. Accordingly, your interpretation does not seem to be the correct one, in my opinion.
- 17. Matter and energy are not separate and distinct things, but they are distinct "phenomena." Therefore, the sentence should be corrected accordingly.

<sup>\*</sup> Publisher's Note: Words in this note were crossed out and the Hebrew words were substituted in the typed text of this page of notes by the Rebbe in his own handwriting. (See the copy of this page printed on page 8).

But this world is created by G-d; He gives it its life and its existence, and through it He gives man a field for spiritual work. Man's work on earth is to draw the divine element forth from its concealment, to seek out the principle, the essence, the incomprehensible which we term אין from the subsidiary, which we with our, human eyes see as w. When man's work is carried out in perfection 18, the soul receives its elevation and spiritual ascent - the true significance of אין - descent has been wrought for the ultimate purpose of ascent.

## ₹ RELIGIOUS AND SOCIAL PRECEPTS

The character of the spiritual work which has been ordained for the soul of man in the material world, is first to link his intellect with Divine Wisdom, אילון אילון אילון. that is with the Torah of G-d; and second, to regulate and fill his daily order of living with the Divine Will, that is with the Commandments which we call the אילון עליון עליון שליון. But Mitzvah does not merely mean a command. The word is derived from the Hebrew word אווא - functional attachment which points to an inner bond<sup>20</sup>.

For commanding points to an inner link between the commander and the one who is commanded - between G-d who issues the Command and His people who must obey them. The fulfilment of the Commandments is thus a clear indication of the link between, and the recognition by those commanded of, He whose commands they heed. Consequently, by the simple fulfilment of Torah and Mitzvot, the man sets his feet firmly on the true road towards the soul's twin purposes.

As is generally known, our Mitzvot are divided in to two groups: מצות שבין אדם למקום - duties to G-d, such as Tephillin,

#### THE REBBE'S COMMENTS

- **18.** I would omit the words "in perfection." since it is too much to expect perfection from everyone.
- 19. I would omit the word "spiritual."
- 20. The expression "functional attachment" is not clear; likewise, "inner bond" is unsuitable. The Hebrew word "אחמי might best be translated as "companionship."

Tzitzit, Sabbath, Family Purity, Kashrut, Prayer and so on; and במצות שבין אדם לחבירו אדם לחבירו שבין אדם לחבירו אבין אדם לחבירו אונה prohibitions against theft and murder, the positive precepts of loving one's neighbour, charity, acts of loving-kindness and so forth. To all of us, I think, the need and significance of this latter group of ethical commands, which we call מון שבטים, Judgements, is clear. If 22 any of us is exercised at all about the need and significance of the Mitzvot, it is the former, the בירונים is then first corphish that this district two tables.

Let us, then, first establish that this division into two categories religious duties and social duties - is only a superficial one. Both categories are alike, except that the ethical commands are the more onerous in respect of atonement; violation of any of them is not forgiven by G-d unless and until the neighbour we have injured has himself first given parden. Apart from this factor, all the commands of Judaism are in their essence, according to our theology, מארם למקום בארם למקום are duties to G-d.

Take, for example, the Mitzvah of Charity. Jewish charity differs from that required by other faiths. In the latter, the command to give charity applies only when there is poverty to relieve. If there be no poor, there is no obligation to give, and the command has no relevance - it lapses. Jewish charity on the other hand is a personal religious obligation - just as I am obligated to put on Tephillin and if I do not possess them I am in duty bound to seek until I find them, and If I fail to find and acquire them I am deficient in that particular precept - so that the "light of the soul" generated by the performance of the Tephillin Mitzvah will be denied me - just so, if there be no poor through whom I may fulfil the command of charity, I am denied

#### THE REBBE'S COMMENTS

- 21. Instead of "duties to neighbour," better "duties to fellow man."

  22. The sentence beginning with the words "If any of us etc" is not
- 22. The sentence beginning with the words "If any of us etc" is not clear at all. \*

<sup>\*</sup> Publisher's Note: The use of the word "exercised" appears to be the problem here. The British (and Australian) use of this word can also be used in the sense of "perplexed" or "worried" (v. Oxford English Dictionary).

the "soul-light" generated by the performance of the Tzedakah precept<sup>23</sup>.

As for the relief of individual suffering, so for the maintenance of institutions. Thus, the Jewish command of supporting Yeshivot and other Torah institutions does not spring from the circumstances that such institutions exist and are in need of help, but springs from the consideration that all Jews are obligated to take a share in the Torah studied by Jewish students. The man who abstains from sharing, even though the institutions may cover their needs from other sources, denies himself his share in Torah. This is the thought that prompts our sages to say "More than the donor does for the poor recipient, the recipient does for the donor".

We see, then, that all the Mitzvot, even those which are ethical<sup>24</sup>, are threads which bind the individual to his maker. They are all charly מילו אור מולים ולארט שבין אור לוארט ולארט ו

After both kinds of command, after religious and social alike, the same statement is made: "I am the L-rd", implying that all the commandments are to be fulfilled as statutes, for one clear reason,

#### THE REBBE'S COMMENTS

- **23.** The distinction drawn between the Jewish concept of charity and that of other faiths is not clear.
- **24.** I would insert the word "purely" before "ethical," to read "purely ethical."
- **25.** The reference to the 19th chapter of Leviticus with regard to the re-statement of the Ten Commandments is not adequately explained.\*

Publisher's Note: Subsequently, the following words were added here by the author: stressing, thus, that all the commandments even those ביות ביות ביות לחולים to your fellow man, have to be performed, mainly, because "I am the L-rd Your G-d", who commanded you to do them.

namely that "I am the L-rd" who has commanded. They are personal duties laid on man in his relationship with his Maker.

It follows from what we have said that the question being dealt with, concerning the significance of the Mitzvot, relates to the ethical as well as the religious commends.

# 2- THE MEANING OF THE MITZVOT - THE "FEAR OF HEAVEN" .

Then there follows the question: "And when thy son asketh thee in the time to come saying: What mean the testimonies, and the statutes, and the judgments, which the L-rd our G-d hath commanded you? Then thou shalt say: The L-rd commanded us to do all these statutes אלרוב לטוב לנו כל הימים. - to awaken in us fear of G-d, דימים לטוב לנו כל הימים - בל הימים - בל הימים - so that we may be blessed in the life everlasting, הוה כהיום ולחיותו כהיום and so that we may have spiritual animation and vitality as at this day."

The questioner is described in Rabbinic literature as the בחרם the Wise Son. His wisdom is revealed by two facts: first, he accepts the yoke of G-d's Kingdom, speaking as he does of אלקינו (די, and, second, he knows the difference between testimonies, statutes and judgements. Knowing that difference he asks the dual question: What is the need and significance of the ביוחר, the statutes? Further, why need G-d have commanded the testimonies and the judgements, since these could have been realised by our own rational faculties, and needed no divine command? To this second of his questions,

the answer is implied that there are no categories of the precepts; all are בין אדם למקום are בין אדם למקום. irrespective of the agency or circumstance through which any of them may be fulfilled - whether the agency be Tephillin or Mezuzah, or a needy fellow man, or some charitable institution. To the earlier question, "What is the need of the Commands?" the answer is given that their purpose is to awaken in man ביאר ארי, the fear of heaven; that is, to make it possible for the soul, clothed in the human frame, to live under a constant divine control, for its eternal benefit, and for its spiritual animation and elevation.

As a basis for all this, the Torah here mentions, as in many other places, the redemption from Egypt, the release from bondage.

## POR THE PERFORMANCE OF MITZVOT

I shall not enter here into the deeper meaning of the redemption from Egypt, which according to the wise teachers of Kabbalah and Chasidism is - apart from the ancient historic fact of the Exodus - a symbol of the daily redemption of man. This would take us too long and would lead us into spheres too far removed from our present task. But the fact of the Exodus, as we understand it in its simplicity, the fact of taking a people out of slavery against their own will, has for us a definite psychological teaching. In it, if we ponder on it, we find the inborn reaction of every human being to the acceptance of the yoke of the Divine Kingdom and of the performance of the Mitzvot.

Man possesses within himself two opposing forces. On the one hand, he is democratically motivated - he loves freedom, independence and equality. On the other hand he respects strength, discipline and power; even a totalitarian power which robs citizens of their personal liberties can take root in some countries because there is this inborn willingness to obey and to submit to orders and decrees. For this reason, therefore, every country's regime depends on the spiritual condition of its citizens in relation to these opposing forces which influence man's inner being. If the first impulse, that which demands freedom is the stronger, a democratic regime comes into power, possessing unquestionable merits, but nevertheless having serious defects, for it withholds from man's second impulse-

that impulse which seeks an authority to which to submit- the satisfaction it needs. If on the other hand, the second of man's impulses prevails, a totalitarian regime is established, which likewise has its support in the forces that dwell in man's soul, but which in the end robs the citizens of their elementary rights and freedoms.<sup>26</sup>

The Exodus from Egypt taught the Jewish people the worth of freedom and independence; but at the same time it interpreted for them the secret of the soul's thirst for the acceptance of an authority the yearning and straining for the acceptance of the heavenly yoke. Even as the flame of a candle strains towards the fire element in the atmosphere, just so does man's soul draw towards the G-dly duties and disciplines. Man,\* failing to understand this circumstance, seeks to satisfy his soul's yearning by setting up, over himself a fellow man as yoke, and through that fellow man surrenders his freedom.

#### **THE REBBE'S COMMENTS**

26. The question of the merits of democracy as compared with a totalitarian regime, as you put it, is debatable. The entire paragraph tends to weaken the conclusions of the article, and seems to detract rather than to add to its strength. I would therefore leave it out. Accordingly some lines on page 15 (of the original typed text - Publisher) also have to be altered.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; [Publisher's Note: See comment 26, which would seem to dictate the removal of this sentence ("Man.. freedom."]]

reply is given to his question concerning the meaning and significance of the Mitzvot: we were slaves in Egypt, we did not revolt against our taskmasters; G-d brought us out from slavery with a mighty hand and amid many wonders; He commanded us to carry out all His statutes - Mitzvot to be fulfilled in the voluntary acceptance of the yoke of His will - in order to awaken in us the fear of Him, that is the sense of His discipline, which in fact is an inborn characteristic of our souls.

## № MITZVAH AND HIDDUR MITZVAH

We see, then, that man's heart is awakened to יראת שמים of Heaven, by the fulfilment of the Mitzvot. As Jews, we are however obligated to awaken in ourselves the emotion of love too, as laid down in the verse: אלקין א אלקין, "And you shall love the L-rd your G-d". This feeling of love is likewise awakened in the human heart and in the soul of man through the fulfilment of Mitzvot.

stimulant of fear of G-d. For the sake of our love of Him, however, requirement of doing more than the Law demands and thereby there as Hiddur Mitzvah with מסירת נפש, with self sacrifice, because in that region termed we must serve G-d with our whole hearts and with our whole life; means of moving from one place to another. Thus Halachah is the we find the verse: ליראה את ד' אלקוך, ללכת בכל דרכיו ולאהבה אותו, is aroused the feeling of love. In the tenth chapter of Deuteronomy how we may not. But there is also לפנים משורת הדין - the permitted and what is not; how we may deal with a fellow man and Aruch, which tell us what is Kosher and what is not; what is individual feelings and exertions. This is at the root of what we know it in accordance to his own capacity and according to his own לפנים משורת הדין there are no boundaries or limits - each may attain which obligates all alike and which is like a path which all tread as a Mitzvot according to the Halachah as set out in the Shulchan Aruch. with all thy heart and with all thy soul". That is, we must fulfil the walk in all His ways, and to love Him; and to serve the L-rd thy G-d ולעבוד את ד' אלקוך בכל לבבך ובכל נפשך, "To fear the L-rd thy G-d, tc Mitzvah in the way prescribed by the rules and laws of the Shulchan The fear of G-d is aroused by the punctilious performance of a

> servant, and the service of a father by his son. The conscientious and does what can be deduced from his father's words. The son is in only realise that the work required of him will give his father servant does his work punctiliously as instructed - he does not work service that we meet in daily life - the service of a master by his enthusiasm. He not only does what father says but also goes further pleasure and he carries out his tasks with inner satisfaction and devoted son need only know what his father's wishes are and need all. He does not give more of his time than is required of him; and his master's purposes, plans and intentions do not interest him at less than he is duty bound, but his master's whole enterprise, as also understand this more clearly when we look at the two forms of through the Hiddur we awaken אהבה - the love of Him. We shall position. Quite different is the service a son gives to his father, The because of יראה - fear - because he fears he may otherwise loose his because his service springs not from fear but from love. he does nothing of his own initiative. He does his work punctiliously his essential being bound to his father and his undertakings Through the Mitzvot then, we awaken יראה - the fear of G-d, and

stem from the yoke of duty. He renders his service from his own and soul. The fault is that his service lacks קבלת עול - it does not enthusiasm which derive from his discharging them with all his life or a motive for this or that undertaking. acceptance of the yoke of duty, without his needing to seek a reason awakened in our inner selves - the merit of love and of fear- which own understanding may sometimes mislead him. Through the understanding and perception of his father's enterprises, and his service is sometimes to "dry" or restricted. The merit of the son's Hiddur Mitzvah, with יקבלת עול - with due acceptance of the Heavenly fulfilment of Mitzvot, the merits of both forms of service can be labour is that they are unrestricted in the satisfaction and the servant's labours is that they stem from אבלח עול - from the Both these forms of service have a merit and a fault. The merit of be attained only through the performance of Mitzvah and The fault is that such

[Publisher's Note: At this point there is some text that has been deleted from the original article. It appears that it dealt with the attitude of so-called "Reform Judaism" to the performance of Mitzvot - in the course of which reference was made to (the service in) a

temple where religion is preached without the observance of the practical precepts, as being "as far from Judaism as a non-Jewish church," <sup>27</sup>]

#### ≥ SUMMING UP

Summing up our analysis we come to the following conclusions:

(1) The purpose of the creation and the clothing in bodily form of a divine element is for the spiritual elevation of all Creation through the Crown of Creation - Man. There are four categories of created things: מון - the inanimate; המוץ - plant life, the vegetable kingdom; יון - the animal kingdom; and יון - that which is endowed with speech - man. Each has been created to serve the other.28

The inanimate serves plant life and gives it its sustenance. Plants are food for the animal and the animal serves man. Man through the fulfilment of Torah and Mitzvot achieves the sovreignity of the G-dly in him over the animal element and by such means raises the whole of creation to the purpose for which it was wrought.

(2) Every Mitzvah has, apart from its outward forms, an inner character, the so called "soul of the command". The Mitzvot which we perform through the medium of various agencies - whether they be duties to G-d or those that we call social duties - are all alike the will of G-d. For the advantage and benefit of man, who is himself comprised of a G-dly element clothed in bodily form, these Mitzvot have likewise been clothed in practical forms - as, for example, Tzitzit, Tephillin, Mezuzah and so on - so that man may be able to fulfil them; but inwardly there is achieved through each Mitzvah a

#### THE REBBE'S COMMENTS

27. In the reference to a temple where religion is preached without the observance of the practical precepts, a more forceful expression is called for, for such a temple is not merely "as far from Judaism as a non-Jewish church," but considerably further, since some non-Jewish churches have much more in common with Judaism than such temples.

28. You state that each of the 4 categories of created things has been created to serve others. This is true only as far as each lower category is concerned in relation to the higher ones, but not vice versa.

definite spiritual function in the Higher Spheres, as is discussed at length, in connection with each Mitzvah in the literature of Kabbalah and Chasidism.

אברהם אבינו כל התורה כולה קורם שנתנה Abraham fulfilled the whole Torah, even before it was given at Sinai". So say the Rabbis - meaning that he fulfilled the inner soul of the Mitzvot, no "bodily" form having yet been given them. But since the Torah was given, all the Mitzvot must be fulfilled in practice, in their "bodily" form, in order to manifest the inner soul of each Mitzvah - its inward content.29

(3) The two most important endeavours of man on earth should be to achieve the **Fear** of G-d and the **Love** or G-d. Both these objectives are attained through the performance of Mitzvot and Hiddur Mitzvah under the proper acceptance of the yoke of divine duty. We need not seek reasons for the commands that we perform in that spirit of אקבלת עול

(4) The Mitzvot are, as it were, occupation forces through which the bodily element of man conquers him. Thanks to the Mitzvot, the G-d element in him occupies and rules man's thoughts, words and deeds, by subduing and conquering his body. It is therefore self-evident that the more Mitzvot there are, the more sure will be the victory of the G-dly element in man and the defence of it of what I may call the conquered territories. As Rabbi Chananyah ben Akashyah says: רובה לובים לפיכך הרבה לפיכך הרבה לובים או ישראל לפיבן הרבה לובים או ישראל או הישראל האיבן הישראל האיבן הרבה לובים to enable Israel to have merit, wherefore He gave them copious Torah and many

### THE REBBE'S COMMENTS

29. The idea of Abraham having fulfilled the whole Torah in a spiritual sense may have an undesirable effect on the unlearned, although you attempt to minimize this effect by saying that after the Torah was given it is necessary to observe it in practice. Therefore, I would omit it. By way of illustration; I have been visited by a group of people, among whom there was one who had once learned but later turned astray. He argued that we need not be more particular than Rabbi Jose Hagilii who maintained that the prohibition of meat and milk did not apply to fowl. It is likely, therefore, that one can use the argument that he need not be more particular than our patriarch Abraham.

commandments"- G-d, seeking to make it easier for man to achieve the goal of his labours, increased the number of Torah and Mitzvot He added, so to speak, to the forces of occupation.

(5) When we speak of the Mitzvot, we mean not only those which are in the written Torah, but we mean also those which are במרכום . those laid upon us by the Rabbis, and even the various בייבות הקנות המיים. the many Rabbinic enactments which are destined to be laid down by the great exponents of Torah and יראת שמים to the end of time. All are in the same way binding on the community, because each Rabbinic precept and each Rabbinic Takanah is designed to strengthen the will to be אור מרוך מעד ווים - to do that which is right and good. 30

וח reality, the whole life and activity of man is divided between - and is a struggle between - Mitzvot and יעבירות - transgressions; either what a man does is a Mitzvah, because it binds him more strongly to G-d and G-dliness - or it is an עבירוע, transgression, a departure, because it is יו עביר על דעח קונו - it leads him away from his Maker's will. A man should therefore always review his activities in the light of our Holy Torah and tradition and should seek to determine them in the same light and spirit as the aspiration און ביי וואס ביי וו

#### WTHE REBBE'S COMMENTS

30. The argument that the Rabbinic enactments should be binding because they are designed to better us, is not very forceful or convincing. It would therefore be best to refer to Maimonides who stated that the Rabbinic injunctions are binding because we are commanded to accept them by the Torah; in other words, the Rabbinic injunctions are rooted in the written Torah.

31. The reference to "חַלְּילֵם החִילְּילִם s not suitable here in connection with the idea "to perfect the world under(?) the Kingdom of the Almighty".